Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,677	SPINDLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1764
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Amended</u>
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>G. McGowan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness Type Double Patenting		
Claims discussed: 1-5		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed on 9-16-2004 that applicant indicated that a terminal disclaimer would be filed over co-pending application 10/005678, which was not received with the amendment. Applicant's repsentative faxed the examiner a properly executed Terminal Disclaimer. The examiner discussed with Applicant's representative that the product and process of making the allowable product would be re-joined which were previous restricted. The apparatus and "use of" the apparatus would remain restricted and non-elected. Applicant's representative authorized cancellation of non-elected claims 6-7 without prejudice..